

Message

From: Manzanilla, Enrique [Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/17/2017 12:23:38 AM
To: Herrera, Angeles [Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Request for Extension - Williams

Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 16, 2017, at 1:32 PM, Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov> wrote:

I did last night right after you sent it.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 15, 2017, at 8:36 PM, Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov> wrote:

Can you forward to Tina? I couldn't bring up her email.

Thanks!

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Date: September 15, 2017 at 7:10:30 PM PDT
To: "TERMAATH, STEPHEN G GS-15 USAF AFCEC
AFCEC/CIB (stephen.termaath@us.af.mil)"
<stephen.termaath@us.af.mil>
Cc: "Laura L. Malone" <Malone.Laura@azdeq.gov>, "HERRERA, ANGELES" <herrera.angeles@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Request for Extension - Williams

Hello Stephen:

My staff and ADEQ staff have been working diligently to review the EBR Work Plan which we received in early August. Angeles and Tina are putting together joint comments which will be submitted to your office by September 22.

Per our discussion last June, I will ask my staff to work with your scheduler and Laura's to set up a call to discuss our comments after you and your staff have had chance to digest them. The goal of our call would be to find potential resolution, prior to elevating the issue further. We will work with everyone's calendar to schedule the call as soon as possible, but it might take us into October when I simply look at my calendar for the next two weeks. I am out in Nevada the last week of September for a couple of days and I may get deployed to Texas to help on Harvey recovery the first week of

October. Don't know that one for sure yet.

I know you are anxious to reach a decision point but I hope we can take the time to have one more call among the dispute officials before elevating it further.

Enjoy your weekend

Enrique Manzanilla

-----Original Message-----

From: MOOK, PHILIP H JR GS-15 USAF AFCEC

AFCEC/CIBW [<mailto:philip.mook@us.af.mil>]

Sent: Friday, September 08, 2017 9:44 AM

To: Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>

Cc: Fairbanks, Brianna <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>;

JERRARD, CATHERINE V CIV USAF HAF AFCEC/CIBW

<catherine.jerrard@us.af.mil>; TERMAATH, STEPHEN G GS-15

USAF AFCEC AFCEC/CIB <stephen.termaath@us.af.mil>;

FITZPATRICK, JAMES E GS-15 USAF AFMC AFCEC/CID

<james.fitzpatrick@us.af.mil>

Subject: RE: Request for Extension

Dear Mr. Henning,

The AF grants a two week extension to September 22.

We recommend EPA suspend review of the OU6 ROD, and dedicate staff time to completion of your review of the EBR Work Plan. The OU6 ROD is a very important document; however, there is no material difference to protection of human health and the environment from a short delay in its approval/signatures.

Sincerely,

Phil

//SIGNED//

Philip H. Mook, Jr., P.E.

BRAC Program Management/Western Region

Air Force Civil Engineer Center

3411 Olson Street

McClellan, CA 95652-1003

916.643.1250 x 100

916.203.2539 (cell)

-----Original Message-----

From: Henning, Loren [<mailto:Henning.Loren@epa.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2017 10:54 AM
To: MOOK, PHILIP H JR GS-15 USAF AFCEC AFCEC/CIBW
<philip.mook@us.af.mil>
Cc: Fairbanks, Brianna <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Request for Extension

Dear Mr. Mook,

As you and I discussed on the phone, EPA is formally requesting an extension of 30 days to review and respond to the Revised Draft Final Addendum #2 ("Revised Addendum #2"), Remedial Design and Remedial Action Work Plan for Operable Unit 2 ("OU2"), Revised Groundwater Remedy, dated August 8, 2017. Paragraph 12.2 of the Federal Facilities Agreement requires parties to respond "[w]ithin thirty (30) days after...the issuance of a draft final primary document." However, Section 9.1 of the FFA also provides that all "[t]imetables, deadlines and schedules shall be extended upon receipt of a timely request for extension and when good cause exists for the requested extension."

The Revised Addendum #2 was made available for our review on August 14, 2017, when it was uploaded to a sharepoint site. As several of our project staff were out of the office, we have had a limited opportunity to fully review and prepare comments on the draft with the assistance of our contractors. In addition, we are currently working hard to review and prepare the OU6 ROD for signature before the end of this month, which we did not receive until last week. Given these factors as well as other pressures on our team members due to the end of the fiscal year, we believe that good cause exists to allow for an extension.

This extension would allow us more time to review the Air Force's response to our prior comments and prepare our technical comments on this draft final version of the Revised Addendum #2. It would also allow our staff to ask the Air Force any clarifying questions and consult with the contractors who prepared the Revised Addendum #2. Finally, an extension would allow us to continue with our ongoing informal dispute resolution and avoid the necessity of invoking formal dispute resolution regarding any remaining issues identified by EPA and the other Regulatory Agencies.

Sincerely,

Loren

Loren Henning, Acting Chief
CERCLA Enforcement Section
US EPA Region 9
(415) 972-3164 phone
(415) 699-1941 cell